

SUBMISSION ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION

CONSENT NUMBER: WCRC: RC-2023-0046, GDC: LUN3154/23

APPLICANT: TIGA MINERALS AND METALS LTD

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY: Establish and operate a mineral sands mine, including construction of associated infrastructure.

LOCATION: Barrytown Flats, west of State Highway 6 (Coast Road), 9km south of Punakaiki township and 36km north of Greymouth

PART B: SUBMITTER DETAILS

Full name/s: Rodney Rex Barrick

Postal address:

I am the occupier of the following property:

Primary contact person/s: Rodney Rex Barrick

Email address:

Phone numbers:

Home:

Mobile:

Business:

Signature of the submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of the submitter):

Rodney Rex Barrick

Date: 12 October 2023

Name (BLOCK CAPITALS): RODNEY REX BARRICK

[If this is a joint submission by 2 or more individuals, each individual's signature is required]

[A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means; just type your name/s]

I oppose the application

I DO NOT wish to be heard and hereby make my submission in writing only.

If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at any hearing.

If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision once it is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in

I **have** served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I request, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Submission

I oppose the application due to:

1. The Barrytown area is a populated rural area and I believe that industrial mining would be very damaging on the people, the community and the environment, resulting in adverse effects across the whole region.
2. I see no economic benefit to this proposal, because the beneficiaries are mostly based overseas.
3. State Highway 6 is already a dangerous road and shouldn't be used continuously 7 days a week, and particularly on public holidays by heavy trucking.
4. The damage to State Highway 6 and cost of repairs, due to the increase of heavy trucking traffic is expensive and unacceptable. The risk will be greater to all users because of this increase in traffic.
5. The beautiful coastal environment would be negatively affected because of the view grade degradation of such a project.
6. The Westland petrel population is unique and should not be threatened by any increased activity interrupting their breeding region and flight paths. Additional unnatural lighting complicates their already diminishing population.
7. The Zero Carbon Act to decarbonize to a low emissions economy should be respected and this mining proposal does not take into consideration the requirements of this act.
8. The West Coast Regional Council's "Untamed Natural Wilderness" strategy is completely undermined by this proposal.
9. I see nothing in this proposal that will protect the environment as stated in the Resource Management Act and other designed to protect the environment.

I seek the following decision from the Local Authority: that the application be declined in its entirety.