# SUBMISSION ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

Office Use Only



## PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION

CONSENT NUMBER: APPLICANT:

WCRC: RC-2023-0046 TIGA MINERALS AND METALS LTD

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY:

Establish and operate a mineral sands mine, including construction of associated infrastructure.

LOCATION:

Barrytown Flats, west of State Highway 6 (Coast Road), 9km south of Punakaiki township and 36km

# PART B: SUBMITTER DETAILS

Full name/s	Anna Cook			
Postal address				
I am the owner/occupier (delete one) of the following property:				
Primary contact person/s	Anna Cook			
Email address				
Phone number/s	Home:	-	Business:	
	Mobile:		Fax:	

Signature:	Date:
	13/10/2023
Name (BLOCK CAPITALS):	
ANNA COOK	

If this is a joint submission by 2 or more individuals, each individual's signature is required A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

I/we support the application numbers indicated by a tick on the back of this form

I/we oppose the application

I/we **neither support nor oppose** the application



(tick one)

I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission.

I/we DO NOT wish to be heard and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.



If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at any hearing

	Yes

		-
		Ľ
		L

If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision once it is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in:

Electronic (CD) copy

Hard (paper) copy

I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA

No

My/our submission is that: (state in summary the nature of your submission. Clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the specific proposal, or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons)

I oppose the application due to:

• Industrial mining of the scale proposed in a populated rural area would have significant and widely distributed adverse effects on people, the community and the environment.

• The economic benefits of the proposal are uncertain. Community wellbeing and other

environmental values should not be compromised in the pursuit of speculative economics.

 Adverse effects on community and individual wellbeing. SH6 is likely to become much more dangerous. Trucking noise & vibration would create stress and potential sleep loss for all living within earshot of SH6 – from early hours, all day and after dark, 7 days a week, and without respite on weekends and public holidays.

Trucking impacts are more than minor. The risk of damage and cost of repair to the already fragile
I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority: (give precise details)

I seek the following decision from the Local Authority: that the application be declined in its entirety.

# Important information – please read carefully

#### **Public information**

The information you provide is public information. It is used to help process a resource consent application and assess the impact of an activity on the environment and other people.

Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.



388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805 PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840 Telephone (03) 768 0466 Toll Free 0508 800 118 Facsimile (03) 768 7133 Email <u>info@wcrc.govt.nz</u> Website <u>www.wcrc.govt.nz</u>

### **Rasela Barrow**

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Kaya Clement on behalf of WCRC Information Friday, October 13, 2023 15:37 Rasela Barrow Tony Ridge Fw: Tiga Minerals Submission WebPage.pdf

#### West Coast Regional Council

# f 🔽 🖸

This email may contain information that is confidential, proprietary or subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you have received this email message in error, please notify the sender and erase all copies of the message and attachments.



#### From:

Sent: Friday, October 13, 2023 1:19 PM To: WCRC Information <info@wcrc.govt.nz> Subject: Tiga Minerals Submission

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Allow sender | Block sender

Hi,

When the webpage is turned into PDF, not all the specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are saved as the space is not large enough.

I am therefore adding it to this email. Please can you make sure that this is added to my submission correctly. Thanks.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

# I oppose the application due to:

• Industrial mining of the scale proposed in a populated rural area would have significant and widely distributed adverse effects on people, the community and the environment.

• The economic benefits of the proposal are uncertain. Community wellbeing and other environmental values should not be compromised in the pursuit of speculative economics.

• Adverse effects on community and individual wellbeing. SH6 is likely to become much more dangerous. Trucking noise & vibration would create stress and potential sleep loss for all living within earshot of SH6 – from early hours, all day and after dark, 7 days a week, and without respite on weekends and public holidays.

• Trucking impacts are more than minor. The risk of damage and cost of repair to the already fragile SH6 from the significant increase in trucking is high. Heavy truck movements at the scale proposed would add significant added risk to: navigating residential driveway entrances and exits, school bus runs, cyclists, pedestrians, and motorists, including tourists in the busy peak holiday times.

• Adverse effects on amenity values. Current amenity values are high and draw many visitors and residents to the area. The proposal would degrade the natural character of the coastal environment, social fabric of the community, and recreational values.

• There is the potential for unacceptable cumulative effects on the Westland petrel /  $T\bar{a}iko$  population. The notably slow reproductive rate means even a few  $T\bar{a}iko$  deaths can have a significant effect on the breeding

potential of this already threatened species. The proposed mining and trucking during the hours of darkness present light distraction threats.

• The proposal involves heavy use of fossil fuelled mine machinery and trucking. It would generate significant new carbon emissions and contribute to the myriad of adverse effects from global warming. It goes against the Zero Carbon Act requirement to decarbonise and transition to a low emission economy.

• Industrial mining on the scale proposed would both contradict, and jeopardize, the West Coast Regional Council's own "Untamed Natural Wilderness" strategy, which promotes the West Coast's most valuable asset: the natural environment. Local nature tourism operators and accommodation providers are likely to be adversely affected by the proposal, both by SH6 use as a mine haulage route and by subsequent reputational damage.

• The potential adverse effects of radiation and the lack of a New Zealand code of practice for managing radiation safety in the mining industry.

• The proposal is contrary to the Resource Management Act, and many national, regional and district level objectives and policies designed to protect the environment.

https://www.wcrc.govt.nz/repository/libraries/id:2459ikxj617q9ser65rr/hierarchy/Documents/Servi ces/Consents and Compliance/Forms/Consent Processing Forms/Submission Form Fillable 05.10.2023.pdf