

**SUBMISSION  
ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT  
UNDER SECTION 96  
OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**



**PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION**

CONSENT NUMBER:

APPLICANT:

	TiGa Minerals and Metals Ltd
--	------------------------------

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY:

MINERAL SAND MINING ACTIVITIES
--------------------------------

LOCATION:

BARRYTOWN
-----------

**PART B: SUBMITTER DETAILS**

Full name/s	Anne Kathleen Klempel			
Postal address	[REDACTED]			
I am the owner/occupier (delete one) of the following property:	[REDACTED]			
Primary contact person/s	Anne Klempel			
Email address	[REDACTED]			
Phone number/s	Home:	[REDACTED]	Business:	[REDACTED]
	Mobile:	[REDACTED]	Fax:	[REDACTED]

Signature:

<i>Anne K Klempel</i>
-----------------------

Date:

13th October 2023
-------------------

Name (BLOCK CAPITALS):

ANNE K KLEMPEL
----------------

*If this is a joint submission by 2 or more individuals, each individual's signature is required  
A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.*

I/we **support** the application numbers indicated by a tick on the back of this formI/we **oppose** the applicationI/we **neither support nor oppose** the application

(tick one)

(tick one)

I/we **wish to be heard** in support of my/our submission.

I/we **DO NOT wish to be heard** and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.

If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at any hearing

 Yes No

If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision once it is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in:

 Electronic (CD) copy Hard (paper) copy

I/we **have** served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA

 Yes

My/our submission is that: (state in summary the nature of your submission. Clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the specific proposal, or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons)

Refer to attached PDF Document

Submission on TiGa mine AK 13Oct23

I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority:(give precise details)

I strongly oppose this application due to adverse effects on the special environment of the Barrytown and Coast Road area and the fact then mining and more particularly the transport of mined material will contribute negatively to our growing problem with climate change.

I wish the Local Authority to reject this application and would prefer that the application be forwarded to the Ministry for the Environment for an independent review and assessment.

**Important information – please read carefully**

**Public information**

The information you provide is public information. It is used to help process a resource consent application and assess the impact of an activity on the environment and other people.

Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.



**THE WEST COAST**  
REGIONAL COUNCIL

388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805  
PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840  
Telephone (03) 768 0466  
Toll Free 0508 800 118  
Facsimile (03) 768 7133  
Email [info@wcr.govt.nz](mailto:info@wcr.govt.nz)  
Website [www.wcrc.govt.nz](http://www.wcrc.govt.nz)

## **I am making this submission because I oppose the TiGa Minerals and Metals mine at Barrytown.**

### ***I oppose the application due to the adverse effects of trucking on the environment and the road.***

The current road surface is vulnerable to slips, rockfall and coastal erosion, and is breaking down with the current use. The road can be closed in adverse weather conditions, it has tight corners, and few passing lanes.

The cost of repairing damage to SH6 from the increase in heavy truck movements could be significantly more than the applicant's contribution to the Transport Fund. Currently NZTA seems unable to maintain the road with the current use, so the surface is breaking down already in places.

### From the "Waka Kotahi Speed Management Plan"

The West Coast's dispersed settlement, relative isolation to neighbouring regions, vulnerability to adverse weather events and mountainous coastal terrain present significant challenges for the state highway network, which forms a critical lifeline link between the region's communities and the remainder of the South Island. Safety, resilience, and access are critical given the vulnerability of West Coast highways to storms and surface flooding, slips, rockfall and coastal erosion.

- SH6 is an exceptionally scenic tourism and freight route. It links many West Coast towns and settlements including schools and community amenities almost the length of the South Island from the Port of Nelson through to Invercargill. In parts it is a challenging and remote drive, with narrow lanes, many single lane bridges and roadside hazards, undulating terrain and tight corners, which increase the risk of crashes.

As part of our analysis to determine the appropriate speed for a road, we consider the characteristics and nature of the road and its surrounding environment, how people are using the road, and collective safety risk.

- The current speed limits are not appropriate for the characteristics and nature of the road, including where there are unpredictable, sharper bends and hazards

### ***"I oppose the application due to adverse environment costs far outweighing any short term economic benefits***

The Great Coast Road lives up to its name and more. Named one of the world's most beautiful coastal drives by Lonely Planet, it hugs 100 kms of constantly changing coastline, overlooked by mighty mountains covered in dense forest.

(from Development West Coast)

This coastal drive will be greatly impacted by the movements of 50 trucks a day

The proposed mining would reduce the land elevation by 1.2m, potentially exacerbating climate impacts of coastal erosion and seawater incursion into groundwater from sea level rise and storm surges in a warming climate. Storms in the last few years have increased in severity resulting in a marked increase in erosion.

I am also concerned about the quality of the discharged water into the lagoon. Canoe Creek.

What guarantee is there that there will be no contamination in this discharge. What independent monitoring of the water discharge would be implemented and how effective would this be given the ongoing issues has with employment of suitable monitoring staff and its record of failure in the Kapitea Catchment. If the council is replying on the mining company to report any problems how can we be assured that this will be both accurate and timely and further, how can we be assured that the heavily influenced mining interests on the regional council will not override the concerns of the monitoring regime. I have a major trust issue born of recent negative impacts of prior council decision making. I also do not believe that our council is impartial enough to be making any sort of determination on this proposal and I would submit that any decision being made on this proposal should be made by the Ministry for the Environment.

I strongly oppose this application this application due to adverse effects on the special environment of the Barrytown and Coast Road area and the fact then mining and more particularly the transport of mined material will contribute negatively to our growing problem with climate change.