SUBMISSION ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION

CONSENT NUMBER: WCRC: RC-2023-0046, GDC: LUN3154/23

APPLICANT: TIGA MINERALS AND METALS LTD

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY: Establish and operate a mineral sands mine, including

construction of associated infrastructure.

LOCATION: Barrytown Flats, west of State Highway 6 (Coast Road), 9km south of Punakaiki township

and 36km north of Greymouth

PART B: SUBMITTER DETAILS	
Full name/s: Shirley Şerban	
Postal address:	
I am the owner of the following property:	
Primary contact person: Shirley Şerban	
Email address:	
Phone numbers: Home: Mobile: Business:	,
Signature of the submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of the submitter):	S. Serbar
Date: 6 / 10 / 2023	

Name: SHIRLEY ŞERBAN

I oppose the application

I DO NOT wish to be heard and hereby make my submission in writing only.

I have served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I request, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Important information from Councils – Please read carefully

Public information

The information you provide is public information. It is used to help process a resource consent application and assess the impact of an activity on the environment and other people. Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council and Grey District Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.

West Coast Regional Council 388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805 PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840 Telephone (03) 768 0466 Toll Free 0508 800 118 Facsimile (03) 768 7133 Email info@wcrc.govt.nz Website www.wcrc.govt.nz

Grey District Council 105 Tainui Street PO Box 382 Greymouth, 7840, planning@greydc.govt.nz 03 769 8600

Note to submitter

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter

I oppose the application due to:

- Impact on the environment, which, in turn, impacts tourism to the region negatively.
 - The proposal goes against the Resource Management Act, as well as a number of national, regional and district level objectives and policies designed to protect the environment.
 - People visit the West Coast for its natural beauty. Our slogan even is 'Untamed Natural Wilderness'. Having a mine along the Great Coast Road, lauded as a Top 10 coastal drive in the world by Lonely Planet, is certain to threaten this perception of the area. Even if tourists can't see much of the mine itself, the road will be full of trucks linked to the mine, and light pollution at night will take away the sense of natural wilderness.
 - With brand new tourism centres almost completed on either side of this planned development in Punakaiki and in Greymouth, what kind of image of this stretch of the Coast does it give people, when we talk about our natural and cultural treasures, yet have a significant mining operation right there? This is bound to have long term repercussions on the perceived image of this part of the West Coast. With travellers having limited time in New Zealand, this could likely deter some from coming this way, which would, in turn, negatively impact local tourism, which puts earnings into local businesses, rather than an overseas-run mining operation.
 - The threatened tāiko live and breed in this area, and the noise and light pollution from mining present threats to their population. Already slow breeders, we want to ensure they are in an undisturbed environment to ensure their survival.

• Impact on the community.

- SH6 is a lifeline along the West Coast, the only way in and out of town centres for a growing number of residents. Already prone to slips in areas and traffic congestion in peak tourism times with camper vans, having 50+ trucks travelling along this route daily will significantly impact this road, and peoples' experience of it. Is the infrastructure there to maintain this road with such increased heavy traffic, with minimal impact on those who depend on it daily? Will perceptions of driving along a Top 10 Coastal Road in the world be shattered, as truck after truck slows down traffic, creates additional noise and makes the place feel like an urban highway?
- Safety for drivers, walkers and cyclists along this road. Remember that there are
 children and a school in this community as well, and many driveways opening out
 directly onto this stretch of road. Already, residents and visitors need to be careful
 along this road, due to the many blind turns and narrow berms. Increasing truck
 traffic to this extent is inviting disaster. It's only a matter of time before we hear the
 sad news of someone being hit.

 24/7 noise, light and vibration pollution on an ongoing basis from trucks could impact many residents living along Coast Road, threatening sleep and the current, much quieter, environment residents moved to the area for. For those living closer to the mine, the mine's work noise and light would only exacerbate this negative impact.

Finally, is this how we foresee the direction of the West Coast and New Zealand? Carbon emissions and use of fossil fuels aside, to even consider giving an overseas company access to an area of such natural beauty and significance, for the sake of the dollar, is so upsetting. The economics are based on prediction, not fact. But the facts we have now are that we are in such a naturally unique part of the world, one recognised globally and nationally as such. We are making progress in harnessing this wonderful resource to create jobs for many, and this proposal is putting all that at risk. There are other ways to bring employment to the West Coast without destroying the land and the beauty which makes me so proud to call it home.

I seek the following decision from the Local Authority: that the application be declined in its entirety.