

**FORM 13: SUBMISSION
ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT
UNDER SECTION 96
OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

Office
Use Only



PART A: DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION

CONSENT NUMBER: WCRC: RC-2023-0046 GDC: LUN3154/23	APPLICANT: TIGA MINERALS AND METALS LTD
--	--

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY:
Establish and operate a mineral sands mine, including construction of associated infrastructure.

LOCATION:
Barrytown Flats, west of State Highway 6 (Coast Road), 9km south of Punakaiki township and 36km north of Greymouth.

PART B: SUBMITTER DETAILS

Full name/s	Riarnne Klempel		
Postal address	[REDACTED]		
I am the owner/ occupier (delete one) of the following property:			
Primary contact person/s	Riarnne Klempel		
Email address	[REDACTED]		
Phone number/s	Home:	[REDACTED]	Business:
	Mobile:		Fax:

Signature of the submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of the submitter): [REDACTED]	Date: 12/10/23
Name (BLOCK CAPITALS): RIARNNE KLEMPEL	

*If this is a joint submission by 2 or more individuals, each individual's signature is required.
A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.*

- I/we **support** the application numbers indicated by a tick on the back of this form
- I/we **oppose** the application
- I/we **neither support nor oppose** the application

(tick one)

(tick one)

I/we **wish to be heard** in support of my/our submission.

I/we **DO NOT wish to be heard** and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.

If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at any hearing

 Yes No

If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision once it is released. Please indicate below which format you would like to receive these documents in:

 Electronic (CD) copy Hard (paper) copy

I/we **have** served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA

 Yes

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: *(give details)*

Please see attached

My/our submission is that: *(include whether you support or oppose the application or specific parts of it; whether you are neutral regarding the application or specific parts of it; and the reasons for your views).*

I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority:(give precise details)

Please see attached

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

*Select one.

~~*I am/am not† directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—~~

~~(a) adversely affects the environment; and~~

~~(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.~~

*Delete this paragraph if you are not a trade competitor.

†Select one.

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

*select one.

Important information – Please read carefully.

Public information

The information you provide is public information. It is used to help process a resource consent application and assess the impact of an activity on the environment and other people.

Your information is held and administered by the West Coast Regional Council and Grey District Council in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.

West Coast Regional Council 388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805 PO Box 66, Greymouth 7840 Telephone (03) 768 0466 Toll Free 0508 800 118 Facsimile (03) 768 7133 Email info@wcrc.govt.nz Website www.wcrc.govt.nz	Grey District Council 105 Tainui Street PO Box 382 Greymouth, 7840 planning@greydc.govt.nz 03 769 8600
---	---

Note to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language;
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

I oppose this application for all of the following reasons

Due to this application seeking to discharge mining waste water, contaminated site water and treated mine water into a pond just a few meters from the beach and between coastal lagoons.

Due to the proposed bond being \$160,000, far too low to mitigate any environmental harm caused to the waterways, land and coastal environment

Due to the real possibility of salt inundation reducing the amount of available productive land forever.

Due to the large size and scale of this operation being unsuitable in this area. 50 trucks average minim/maximum as stated in application is a huge disruption to this area and added burden to the fragile roading infrastructure. While the area is mostly zoned rural it is also zoned coastal with high amenity and recreation values. As well as surging house and land prices, and population.

Due to this application allowing hazardous substances into our community and environment.

Due to the adverse effects on the coastal environment, which seem poorly considered or not addressed at all in this application.

Due to this application having a self-monitoring aspect. All environmental and water monitoring must be independent.

Due to the risks and adverse effects to the environment that far outweigh the benefits to this now thriving region and New Zealand. Mining is a high-risk high reward industry, by its own admission. Mining leaves long term damage for short term or no gain. Local opposition to the promises of large-scale industry is understandable when considering past failures of permitted mining activity. They can be overhyped, poorly planned and have consistently underdelivered.

Due to the adverse effects of this proposal on my community outweighing any short-term economic benefits. Having an industrial processing plant set up and operating 24/7 near peoples long established homes and businesses is terrifying. The West Coast offers a high-quality lifestyle, second to none. This operation will drive rural families from their homes., and make living along the highway incredibly dangerous.

Due to the consent granting vegetation clearance which seems unnecessary on the open farmland site proposed.

Due to this application being a gateway too and even larger mining and more unsuitable operation.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

I am wholly opposed to an unproven mining company and its shareholders who are not NZ based, profiting from extracting NZ minerals. As NZ tax payers will be left with the bill for the maintenance and provision of services, eg roads and power, and the environmental clean-up, then it's only right that if wealth is to be realised from these minerals, New Zealand should profit from this.

In the Proposed consent conditions document, specifically

4.0 BOND

8.0 HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES

12.0 HOURS OF OPERATION

15.0 TRANSPORT

25.0 METHOD OF DISCHARGE

26.0 WATER MONITORING

I seek the following decision from the local authority,

Please decline this application in its entirety.

Should this application be granted then,

25 trucks maximum would possibly be more acceptable to the growing number of resident/rate payers who live long and near the highway.

Regulation should require significantly large financial bonds. \$160,000 is 0.05% of projected export earnings over 5 years referenced from TIGA community info leaflet. This must be significantly increased.

Census data will be released next year and decisions on bringing heavy industry to this area should not be made without this recent census data being available and considered.

A processing plant that operated 9-5 must be imposed should this application be granted.